



**Role of Sardar Patel as Communicator in Unification of Bharat**

<b>Arjun Sharma</b> <i>Student</i> <i>Tilak School of Journalism and Mass Communication</i> <i>Ch. Charan Singh University, Meerut</i>	<b>Citation:</b> Sharma, A. (2025). Role of Sardar Patel as Communicator in Unification of Bharat. INNOVATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS IN SOCIAL SCIENCES, 2(1),28-32 Doi: <a href="https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17961433">https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17961433</a>
---	---

**Abstract**

*This research paper examines the greatest contribution of Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel to Bharat political integration from the lens of his strategic communication approaches. Although Patel is best known for his diplomatic and administrative skills, this study examines how his persuasive messages presented in speeches, letters, and negotiations enabled effective amalgamation of more than 560 princely states into the Bharat Union. By case study of Hyderabad, Junagadh, Kashmir, and so on, the paper illustrates how Patel effectively employed rhetoric in conformity to the cultural, emotional, and political environment of each of these places. His use of rhetoric, symbolic appeals, and appointed diplomacy exemplifies a wise understanding of public psychology and opinion of leadership. Making use of qualitative, historical research in the form of rhetorical analysis, the research descends into initial and secondary research in the forms of archive files and public discourse. Patel's speech was among the first instances not just of political negotiation of consensus, but also of forging a common national conscience, says the study. The current research paper adds to existing scholarship by highlighting Patel's less-better-known status as a communication sage and envisions the enduring value of great political communication in nation-building.*

**Keywords:**

*Political communication, national integration, unification of Bharat, princely states, rhetorical strategy, leadership, diplomacy, nation-building, Bharat independence*

## Introduction

The political map of India at the time of independence in 1947 was a complex mosaic of British provinces and princely states. The princely states, which numbered more than 560, were semi-autonomous entities with their own rulers, systems of governance, and in many cases, their own ambitions for post-British sovereignty. The political integration of these states into the newly formed Indian Union was not merely an administrative task—it was a monumental challenge that required vision, diplomacy, and strategic communication. Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel was India's first Deputy Prime Minister and Home Minister. He was a key figure in bringing the country together politically, often called the main person behind unifying India. This paper looks into how Sardar Patel used both clear messages and symbolic gestures to bring together Bharat as one unified country.

## Literature Review

Several biographical and historical accounts have been published on Sardar Patel's political role. Rajmohan Gandhi's "Patel: A Life" and B. Krishna's "Integration of Indian States" are foundational texts. The existing studies mostly focus on Patel's role as a political leader, not so much on how he communicated. Scholars like Rajmohan Gandhi and B. Krishna talk about his work in uniting India and his politics, but they don't pay much attention to the specific ways he used communication to achieve his goals. Patel's speeches and letters weren't just formalities—they were carefully planned tools. His speeches helped build a sense of national unity and eased fears among the public. His personal letters to princely rulers balanced firmness with understanding, encouraging them to join without pressuring them.

Looking at his personal papers shows that Patel deliberately used persuasive language. The collections like the "Collected Works of Sardar Patel" and the "Indian Government

Archives" from 1947 to 1950 reveal how he used emotionally charged and culturally relevant words to influence people and earn their trust.

His style of communicating changed depending on who he was talking to. With princes, he wrote secretive, respectful letters and negotiated firmly but politely. With the general public, he gave speeches and made statements to inspire hope, unity, and pride in the country.

There's a big gap in research when it comes to understanding how Patel's communication played into his leadership. Most history books don't really analyse *how* he communicated—what kind of language, rhetorical tools, or emotional appeals he used.

His diplomacy was all about communication. Every political move involved negotiations, reassurance, and storytelling—things that only good communicators do well.

This kind of research looks at Patel through the lens of political communication and leadership theories. It emphasizes how his work to unite India wasn't just politics but also a form of masterful messaging and crisis management.

Patel's words were as impactful as his policies. His skill with language, whether spoken or written, helped bring together a divided subcontinent. That ability was a key part of his leadership

However, there remains a gap in literature focusing explicitly on Patel's role as a communicator. This study builds upon leadership and political communication frameworks to explore how his speeches, personal letters, public statements, and private negotiations influenced the decisions of princely rulers and calmed the anxieties of the Indian populace.

## Methodology

This study uses qualitative analysis, grounded in historical inquiry and rhetorical criticism. Primary sources include Patel's speeches, letters from the Ministry of States,

and archival material from the National Archives of India. Secondary sources include biographies, academic journals, and newspaper reports from the period. Case study methodology is employed to analyse the unification of key princely states.

### Sardar Patel's Communication Framework

Patel's strategy can be broken into several communicative layers:

- **Persuasive Messaging:** He employed logic, emotional appeals, and nationalistic sentiments.
- **Targeted Communication:** Messages were customized depending on the ruler's disposition, religious identity, and geopolitical location.
- **Symbolic Communication:** Patel often invoked metaphors (e.g., Bharat Mata) to emotionally bind rulers and citizens.
- **Backchannel Diplomacy:** Private emissaries and trusted intermediaries were used to maintain informal yet effective communication.

### Case Studies of Major Princely States

#### Hyderabad

- **Pre-Unification:** Ruled by the Nizam, a Muslim monarch ruling over a Hindu-majority population, Hyderabad was the largest and one of the most strategically located princely states. The Nizam aspired to remain independent and even courted alliances with Pakistan.
- **Communication Strategy:** Patel used letters emphasizing the inevitability of integration. He appealed to the logic of geography and economics. Simultaneously, he warned of potential military action, framing it as protection for the people of Hyderabad.

- **Post-Unification:** Following Operation Polo in 1948, Hyderabad was integrated. Patel's speech post-operation focused on reconciliation and healing, avoiding triumphalism.

#### Junagadh

- **Pre-Unification:** A Muslim ruler over a Hindu-majority region, the Nawab of sJunagadh declared accession to Pakistan, causing panic in Kathiawar.
- **Communication Strategy:** Patel commenced a media campaign to show what people really want. He also encouraged grassroots movements and diplomatic correspondence with the Nawab.
- **Post-Unification:** After the Nawab fled to Pakistan, a plebiscite was held under Patel's directive, confirming the state's accession to India. His post-event communication emphasized democratic legitimacy.

#### Kashmir

- **Pre-Unification:** The Maharaja of Kashmir delayed accession, hoping to remain independent. The tribal invasion from Pakistan changed the scenario.
- **Communication Strategy:** Patel maintained consistent contact with the Maharaja and Prime Minister Nehru, advising swift action. While he was initially sidelined in Kashmir matters, Patel used behind-the-scenes communication to press for accession.
- **Post-Unification:** Once Kashmir acceded, Patel's messages focused on the importance of working together and staying calm in public, since the community situation is quite delicate.

## Travancore

- **Pre-Unification:** Travancore expressed its desire to remain independent, citing economic self-sufficiency.
- **Communication Strategy:** Patel sent emissaries and used economic arguments in speeches to challenge the logic of secession. He also reminded the ruler of the risks of becoming an isolated state.
- **Post-Unification:** After the assassination attempt on Diwan C.P. Ramaswami Iyer, Travancore agreed to join India. Patel's communication post-accession was conciliatory, framing the decision as wise and patriotic.

## Bhopal

- **Pre-Unification:** Nawab Hamid Ullah Khan of Bhopal was among the last to resist integration, citing the right to self-determination.
- **Communication Strategy:** Patel personally reached out to the Nawab, engaging in direct communication. He made a point to emphasize the importance of community unity when speaking publicly, using messages that emphasized harmony among different groups. By doing so, he was effectively putting pressure on the situation, quietly strengthening his position through those subtle, harmonizing messages. He also employed covert political lobbying.
- **Post-Unification:** After Bhopal's accession, Patel issued public statements focusing on secular unity and national solidarity.

## Rhetorical and Strategic Communication Analysis

Patel's speeches often followed classical rhetorical patterns:

- **Principles (Credibility):** Established through his image as a nationalist and iron-willed leader.
- **Pathos (Emotion):** Used effectively when invoking the pain of partition or the dreams of a united Bharat.
- **Logos (Logic):** Emphasized the economic and geographic impracticality of secession. He also mastered **agenda-setting** by framing the debate around unity as the central post-independence challenge. His media interactions, often concise and pointed, kept the narrative under control.

## Challenges Faced in Communication

- **Multiple Audiences:** Rulers, citizens, press, and international observers.
- **Communal Tensions:** Messages had to be carefully worded to avoid inciting violence.
- **Diverse Agendas:** Some rulers were driven by ego, others by genuine fear or confusion.
- **Limited Technology:** Without instant communication, letters and messengers needed to convey complex, subtle messages clearly.

## Impact and Legacy

Sardar Patel's communication strategies created a lasting framework for national integration. His approach combined assertiveness with accommodation, force with persuasion. India's peaceful absorption of so many diverse states, without widespread violence or long-term secessionist movements (barring Kashmir), is a testament to his methods.

## Conclusion

Sardar Patel's contribution to uniting India went far beyond just a series of political decisions or administrative moves. At its core, it was about how he communicated—

how he spoke to different people, understood their concerns, and motivated them toward a common goal. His ability to convey clarity and conviction through his words played a huge role in bringing together a nation that was deeply divided and full of conflicting interests. Patel knew how to use language to inspire trust and encourage a sense of shared purpose, which helped turn a fractured subcontinent into one united country.

This isn't simply about his political strategies; it's about recognizing the power of good, honest communication. Throughout history, figures like Patel have shown us that leadership isn't just about making rules or issuing commands—it's also about connecting with people on a level that motivates action and unity. His skill with words and his emotional intelligence served as the glue holding the country together during critical moments. When we look at India's journey to independence and unity, Patel's role as a master communicator stands out just as much as his reputation as the Iron Man of India. His legacy teaches us that effective communication can be as influential as any policy, and his example reminds us of how words can shape the destiny of a nation.

## References

- Gandhi, R. (1990). *Patel: A Life*. Navajivan Publishing.
- Krishna, B. (1965). *Integration of Indian States*. Oxford University Press.
- Patel, V. (Ed.). (1971). *Collected Works of Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel*. Government of India.
- Chhabra, G.S. (2003). *Advanced Study in the History of Modern India*. Lotus Press.
- Noorani, A.G. (2001). *The Kashmir Dispute 1947-2012*. Oxford University Press.
- Menon, V.P. (1956). *The Story of the Integration of Indian States*. Orient Longman.
- Indian Archives and Government Reports, 1947-50.